

Chapter-20

“Ecological Significance of Earthworms: Species Diversity, Digestive Physiology, and Vermicomposting Applications”

Dr. Bandiwar Tanvi Sunil¹, Dr. Kamble Vidhin Sundar²

¹Assist. Professor, Department of Zoology

Dr. Ambedkar College of Arts, Commerce and Science Chandrapur (MS)

²Assos. Professor, Sangola College sangola, Dist. Solapur

Email: tanvibandhiwarjakk@gmail.com

Abstract:

Earthworms are widely recognized as ecological engineers due to their profound influence on soil structure, nutrient cycling, and ecosystem functioning. Their species diversity reflects adaptation to varied habitats, ranging from agricultural fields to forest ecosystems, and plays a crucial role in maintaining soil health. The digestive physiology of earthworms enables the breakdown of complex organic matter, enhancing microbial activity and accelerating nutrient mineralization. This unique biological capacity underpins their application in vermicomposting, where earthworms transform organic waste into nutrient-rich compost that improves soil fertility, crop productivity, and sustainability. The present review highlights the ecological significance of earthworms by integrating perspectives on biodiversity, physiological mechanisms, and practical applications in vermicomposting. Emphasis is placed on their role in sustainable agriculture, waste management, and environmental conservation, positioning earthworms as vital contributors to both natural ecosystems and human-driven agroecosystems.

Key words: Earthworm diversity, Vermicomposting, Soil fertility, Sustainable agriculture

Introduction:

Vermicomposting is an organic method of waste management that produces nutrient-rich compost, enhancing soil fertility and plant growth. With rapid urbanization and population increase, disposal of municipal garbage has become a pressing issue. Earthworms provide a natural solution by converting organic waste into usable compost, a process often described as turning “garbage into gold.” Unlike chemical fertilizers, vermicompost improves soil health without harmful side effects (Amebicrectal, 2000). Long-term use of inorganic fertilizers damages soil’s physical, chemical, and biological properties, while also contributing to environmental pollution. In contrast, organic compost increases microbial activity, biodiversity, and nutrient cycling. Microorganisms, yeasts, and fungi present in vermicompost enhance plant growth and suppress diseases. Studies have shown a 3.4–5.4-fold increase in bacterial populations compared to untreated soil (Tootsie et al., 1950). However, reduced moisture levels can lower colony-forming units (CFU) and organic carbon (Parthasarathi, 2006). Earthworm guts harbor diverse microorganisms and actinomycetes, dispersing them into soil and improving fertility. Experimental plots treated with vermicompost nitrogen recorded significantly higher microbial counts. Agricultural waste management is another area where vermitechnology proves valuable. India generates approximately 350 million tonnes of

agricultural residues annually, much of which is traditionally burned, releasing greenhouse gases and particulate matter. Incorporating residues into soil through vermicomposting accelerates decomposition and produces nutrient-rich fertilizer. Worm casts can be used for crops, vegetables, and gardens, while surplus worms can be converted into vermiprotein for poultry and fish feed. Corn, a high-energy grain consumed worldwide, benefits from vermicompost application, though limited soil and water resources constrain yield potential. Vegetable production also generates waste during harvesting, transport, storage, and marketing. Unmarketable produce often ends up in landfills, releasing foul odors, attracting pests, and emitting greenhouse gases. Rice, cultivated in 89 countries, is a staple food for much of the global population (Body & Ray, 2015). In Iran, rice is considered the most important crop (Toorminaee et al., 2017).

Organic fertilizers, particularly cow dung, have been shown to increase soil microbial activity, organic matter, nitrogen-use efficiency, and rice yield. Cow manure is four to five times more effective than pig manure, making vermicomposting of animal waste an effective strategy for sustainable agriculture. Urban and industrial organic wastes also pose environmental hazards when disposed of in landfills. Earthworms consume diverse organic materials and convert them into nutrient-rich casts. Vermitechnology avoids foul odors, reduces pollution, and produces marketable products such as compost, fish bait, and animal feed supplements. The process involves both mechanical (substrate aeration, mixing, grinding) and biochemical (microbial digestion in worm intestines) steps. Vermicomposting is a low-cost technology suitable for municipal solid waste management, adaptable to different worm species and environmental conditions.

India produces approximately 2500 MT of biomass annually, with potential to generate 500 MT of organic compost. Earthworms act as natural aerators, grinding soil with their gizzards and secreting digestive enzymes like cellulase. They contribute 20–100 kg of nitrogen per acre per year, reducing emissions of toxic gases and foul odors from waste. Vermicomposting improves soil structure, nutrient availability, and microbial diversity, supporting sustainable agriculture by reducing dependence on chemical fertilizers. Soil, a non-renewable resource, forms through weathering of rocks, erosion, and climatic processes. In favorable conditions, 1 cm of soil may form in 15 years, while harder parent material may take centuries. Soil consists of minerals, organic matter, air, and water, all essential for vegetation growth. Protecting soil health through organic practices like vermicomposting is critical, as synthetic methods cannot replicate natural soil formation.

Soil Horizons and Vermicomposting

Soil is arranged in zones called soil horizons, each with distinct texture and structure. A cross-sectional view of these horizons is known as the soil profile. The O horizon is the surface litter layer, composed of freshly fallen twigs, partially decomposed leaves, animal waste, and other organic materials, usually brown or dark in color. The A horizon, or topsoil, contains humus mixed with mineral particles. It is darker and looser than deeper layers and hosts abundant microorganisms, fungi, earthworms, and insects, forming a complex food web that recycles nutrients. Beneath this lies the B horizon, or

subsoil, which contains fewer organic materials and organisms. The C horizon consists of weathered parent material with little organic matter, but it influences soil pH, water infiltration, and retention (Gogoi et al., 2025).

Soil horizons can be disrupted by waste dumping, such as kitchen refuse, industrial waste, and plastics, which negatively affect soil organisms. Effective soil waste management is therefore essential. Among various methods, vermicomposting is considered highly practicable. Vermicomposting refers to the process of using earthworms to convert organic waste into nutrient-rich humus. Under controlled conditions, earthworms can be cultured in containers, even at household level, producing compost that is rich in nutrients and microbial life (Babu et al., 2025).

The Green Revolution of the 1960s, driven by innovations in irrigation, fertilizers, and government policies, significantly increased agricultural productivity. However, this success relied heavily on chemical fertilizers and pesticides, which gradually accumulated in soils, degrading their health and reducing long-term fertility. Excessive use of synthetic inputs has created ecological problems and raised concerns about food safety and sustainability (Singh & Singh, 2004). To ensure food and nutritional security, it is vital to increase crop yields per unit area through sustainable agro-technologies that maintain ecological balance. Recent studies highlight the adverse effects of excessive fertilizer use on environmental and public health, underscoring the need for organic alternatives such as vermicompost (Parthasarathi et al., 2008). Vermicomposting is a biotechnological process in which earthworms and associated microorganisms transform complex organic substances into stabilized humus-like material. Unlike traditional composting, vermicomposting does not undergo a thermophilic stage but instead relies on bio-oxidative processes mediated by worms and microbes (Neher et al., 2013). The resulting castings are fine-textured, microbially active, and rich in essential plant nutrients. Vermicomposting can be carried out indoors or outdoors, making it a versatile technique for continuous soil fertilization. Worm manure is typically produced in containers filled with moist bedding and red worms. The practice of raising worms for compost production is known as vermiculture, and its applications extend beyond fertilizer production to include protein supplements for livestock and treatment of industrial waste. Earthworms play a crucial role in soil biotic processes such as aeration, mixing, and nutrient cycling (Abiz et al., 2025). In India, the Green Revolution between the 1960s and 1970s boosted food production through chemical inputs, but overlooked the importance of natural soil communities for sustained fertility. Vermitechnology offers a sustainable alternative, restoring soil health while addressing waste management challenges.

Vermiculture and Vermicomposting: Earthworms as Nature's Cultivators

There are approximately 1,800 known species of earthworms, the majority belonging to the family *Megascolecidae*, which is the largest and most widely distributed group. India alone hosts around 30 genera, with *Pheretima* being the most dominant (Edwards & Bohlen, 1996). Vermiculture—the innovation of using earthworms for the production of biofertilizers and animal protein—has become an established practice in many countries. It is estimated that in one month, a million worms can convert about 120

tons of organic waste into biofertilizer (Domínguez & Edwards, 2011). In India, vast quantities of waste are generated annually: approximately 200 million tons from crop residues and another 2,000 million tons from animal and human excreta (Gajalakshmi & Abbasi, 2004). Industrial and domestic wastes further add to this burden. Vermicomposting, which uses epigeic worm species to transform organic waste into nutrient-rich humus, offers a promising solution. Earthworms ingest soil and organic matter, converting it into humus within 24 hours. Their excreta, known as casts, are rich in nutrients and microbial activity, earning earthworms the title of “nature’s cultivators” (Arancon et al., 2006).

Countries such as Japan, the USA, and the UK have recognized the potential of vermiculture and adopted it widely in agriculture. As a form of biotechnology, vermiculture is a natural process that maintains ecological balance without adverse effects. Studies show that worm casts contain significantly higher nutrient levels compared to soils without worms—up to three times more magnesium and calcium, three times more nitrogen, seven times more phosphorus, and eleven times more potassium, along with other trace elements (Bhadoria & Saxena, 2010). These nutrients are water-soluble and readily available to plant roots. The rapid growth of agriculture, human population, and industry has led to an increase in organic waste, posing a global environmental challenge. Earthworms, through vermiculture, provide an effective method for transforming these byproducts into valuable fertilizer. Industrial waste management is particularly critical, as many enterprises produce large amounts of solid, liquid, and gaseous waste. Traditional disposal methods are costly and often ineffective. Vermitechnology offers industries a low-cost alternative that not only manages waste but also produces marketable byproducts, creating additional income streams (Sinha et al., 2010). Household and municipal wastes such as kitchen refuse, paper, agricultural residues, market waste, and sewage—pose threats to human health by harboring disease-causing organisms. Earthworms, often referred to as members of the “underground zoo,” play a vital role in decomposing and sanitizing these wastes. Their continuous activity cycles organic matter, forming humus and enhancing soil fertility. This remarkable ecological service underscores the importance of earthworms throughout the history of life, as no other organism has contributed so significantly to soil development and ecosystem sustainability (Edwards, 2004).

Earthworms as Soil Conditioners and Agents of Vermicomposting:

Earthworms are widely recognized as soil conditioners and tonic suppliers, enhancing soil fertility and crop yield. Their continuous tunneling improves soil structure, aeration, and humus content. Often referred to as “bio-plants” or the “digestive tract of the earth,” earthworms act as natural aerators, grinding soil into fine particles using their gizzard and secreting digestive enzymes that mineralize nutrients and release plant growth hormones (Edwards & Bohlen, 1996). At the household level, vermicast can be produced in simple containers such as polythene bags, wooden boxes, or plastic tubs using kitchen waste, paper clippings, and garden residues. This bio-compost can be applied to kitchen gardens for flowers and vegetables, embodying the principle “trash in, gold out” (Gajalakshmi & Abbasi, 2004). The basic procedure involves preparing a bed

of soil, cow dung, and loamy material, mixed with organic waste. Earthworms process this material into nutrient-rich compost in an eco-friendly cycle.

Poor ventilation, overloading, and excess moisture can reduce oxygen availability, leading to foul odors from anaerobic microbial activity. Oxygen consumption in vermicomposting is directly proportional to microbial activity, with optimal rates occurring at 20–30 °C (Domínguez & Edwards, 2011). High nitrogen concentrations accelerate worm growth and cocoon production, while the carbon-to-nitrogen ratio (C:N) is critical for population stability. Ratios between 15:1 and 35:1 are considered optimal (Ananthi & Parthasarathi, 2001). Moisture levels of 40–50% support microbial activity, but excess water creates anaerobic conditions, lowering pH and reducing productivity (Ahmad & Baraga, 2005). Earthworms thrive between 20–30 °C, but temperatures above 35 °C can be lethal. They are sensitive to light, avoiding exposure through photoreceptor cells, and prefer neutral pH conditions. Acidic environments reduce worm activity and population growth (Edwards, 1995; Rae & Ramalaxmi, 2002).

Earthworms are often called the “farmer’s friends” due to their role in maintaining soil balance. Vermiculture the science and technology of breeding earthworms—ensures healthy populations for composting. Earthworm guts contain enzymes that, in association with microbes, break down complex organic matter (Avian & Ghatnekar, 1991). Their casts are nutrient-rich, microbially active, and serve as secondary decomposition products in nature. Common composting species include *Eisenia fetida* (red wiggler or tiger worm), *Eisenia andrei*, *Perionyx excavatus*, and *Eudrilus eugeniae* (African nightcrawler). These epigeic worms live near the soil surface, feeding on decaying organic matter, and are highly effective in vermicomposting (Neuhauser et al., 2000). Anecic species such as *Lampito mauritii* and *Lumbricus terrestris* build deep permanent burrows, while endogeic species like *Allolobophora rosea* and *Pontoscolex corethrurus* inhabit mineral layers and feed on soil organic matter. Among tropical species, *Eudrilus eugeniae* is particularly valued for its rapid growth and ability to decompose large amounts of organic waste (Sajnanath & Sushma, 2004).

Earthworm Species in Maharashtra and Their Role in Vermicomposting:

In Maharashtra, several earthworm species such as *Corethrasus*, *Pontoscolex*, *Perionyx sansibaricus*, *Perionyx excavatus*, *Pheretima elongata*, *Eudichogaster*, and *Eudrilus eugeniae* are widely used for vermicomposting due to their rapid waste conversion and reproductive efficiency (Edwards & Bohlen, 1996). Among these, two epigeic species—*Eudrilus eugeniae* (African earthworm) and *Eisenia fetida* (commonly called tiger worm)—are considered the most effective for vermicomposting.

Key Species:

Eudrilus eugeniae: Originating from Africa, this exotic species is one of the most widely cultured earthworms for vermiculture. It is used globally for protein meal production and vermicomposting due to its high conversion ratio and rapid growth. It thrives at soil depths of 15–22 cm and temperatures between 19–25 °C, reaching a maximum weight of 2.5 g within 8–10 weeks (Domínguez & Edwards, 2011).

Eisenia fetida: This fast-growing species produces cocoons at a rate nearly 35 times higher than *Eudrilus eugeniae*. Its high conversion efficiency makes it particularly suitable for vermicomposting. Morphologically, it resembles *Eudrilus eugeniae* but is more prolific in reproduction (Arancon et al., 2006).

Perionyx sansibaricus: An indigenous Indian species, found at depths of 3–8 cm and temperatures between 20–28 °C, often in social forestry systems. It has single-pointed setae, with male pores on the 17th–18th segments and female pores on the 14th segment. Along with *Perionyx excavatus*, it is effective in converting organic waste into compost, especially in southern India where summer temperatures are moderate (Sinha et al., 2010).

Pontoscolex corethrurus: An endogeic species that inhabits deeper soil layers. Although ecologically important, it is generally not used for vermicomposting due to its geophytophagous feeding habits (Lavelle et al., 1992).

Eudichogaster spp.: Indigenous Indian species belonging to the family Octochaetidae, found at depths of 10–30 cm in mixed farming and monoculture systems. They require moisture levels of 17–30% and temperatures of 19–24 °C. Their excretory system is meronephric, and they contribute to soil fertility through organic matter breakdown (Julka, 1993).

Digestive Physiology and Enzymatic Contribution:

Vermicompost contains enzymes such as amylase, lipase, cellulase, and chitinase, which break down organic matter and release nutrients for plant uptake (Giraddi, 2000). Earthworms feed on dead organic matter, grass, seeds, algae, and leaves, ingesting food through the pumping action of the pharynx. The pharyngeal wall's contractile action draws soil fragments into the buccal chamber, aided by mucin for lubrication and protease for protein digestion. Food then passes through the esophagus into the gizzard, where muscular contractions and the cuticular lining grind particles into fine matter (Maboeta & Van Rensburg, 2003). The stomach contains calciferous glands that neutralize humic acids, while the intestinal wall secretes digestive juices including trypsin, pepsin, amylase, lipase, and cellulase. Pepsin hydrolyzes proteins into peptones, and trypsin further breaks them down into amino acids, making nutrients bioavailable for plants (Edwards, 2004). After absorption by the intestinal epithelium, nutrients pass into blood capillaries in the intestinal wall. The typhlosole, present in much of the earthworm intestine, increases the surface area for digestion and absorption. Undigested food and soil are expelled through the anus as worm castings, which are nutrient-rich and improve soil fertility. In vermicomposting systems, microorganisms play a crucial role in sludge management (Bisesi, 1990). Microorganisms require favorable temperature, moisture, and oxygen to degrade organic waste. Saprophytic fungi such as *Pleurotus* are commercially available and widely used for rapid degradation of lignin and cellulose, producing enzymes that make nutrients accessible to plants (Dhaliwal et al., 1992; Sharma et al., 2002). These fungi can be cultured economically in large quantities, making them effective partners in vermicomposting.

In the last century, pollution from anthropogenic sources has disrupted ecological balance. Rational use of natural resources is essential for maintaining soil

fertility, plant productivity, and livestock health, which are critical for social and economic stability (Novoselov, 2011; Kozhemyakov, 1989). Soil cover, as a medium of the biosphere, absorbs agricultural, municipal, and industrial emissions, but excessive pressure leads to degradation (Zinkyakoba, 2013). Soil degradation reduces biological productivity, crop yields, and humic acid reserves globally (Alvarez, 2005; Christopher & Lal, 2007). In India, rapid urbanization and population growth have intensified waste generation. Overflowing landfills cause foul odors and spread communicable diseases through water supplies. Municipal solid waste management remains a major challenge, with limited infrastructure and poor source separation. Conventional methods include incineration, landfilling, recycling, biogas conversion, and composting. While chemical fertilizers temporarily increase crop yields, they deplete soil nutrients and negatively impact soil, water, and climate quality. Vermicomposting offers a sustainable alternative, recycling vegetable and organic waste into value-added compost (Masciandaro et al., 2000).

Urbanization and industrialization worldwide have increased waste production, including wastewater and sludge. Industrial waste varies by sector, making generalizations difficult. Effective management requires approaches such as volume reduction, energy recovery, and resource recovery. Vermicomposting provides a cost-effective method for converting hazardous waste and sludge into compost using earthworms (Hand et al., 1998). Returning waste to land as organic fertilizer benefits both rural and urban areas. It eliminates open landfill practices, reduces environmental degradation, and improves living conditions. Organic fertilizers enhance crop resistance to pests and diseases, reducing dependence on chemical inputs. Vermicomposting, as a biological process, enables earthworms to perform bioremediation by degrading agricultural and industrial waste (Nair et al., 2005). In recent years, the vermicomposting industry has expanded significantly, requiring improved production frameworks to meet growing demand.

Earthworms, often celebrated as “nature’s cultivators” and “farmers’ friends,” embody one of the most vital components of terrestrial ecosystems. Their ecological services extend far beyond simple soil turnover; through continuous burrowing, feeding, and casting activities, they restructure soil aggregates, enhance aeration, regulate moisture retention, and enrich nutrient cycling. These processes collectively improve soil fertility and productivity, positioning earthworms as indispensable allies in sustainable agriculture and environmental stewardship. The practice of vermiculture and vermicomposting harnesses these natural abilities to address two pressing global challenges: the degradation of soil resources and the management of mounting organic waste. Agricultural residues, municipal solid waste, and industrial byproducts, which otherwise contribute to pollution and landfill accumulation, can be transformed into nutrient-rich organic fertilizer through the activity of earthworms. This transformation not only reduces environmental burdens but also creates a renewable source of biofertilizer that supports crop production and soil health. Scientific investigations consistently demonstrate that vermicompost enhances microbial diversity and activity, increases the bioavailability of essential nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and

potassium, and improves both yield and quality of crops. The enzymatic and microbial interactions within the earthworm gut accelerate the decomposition of complex organic compounds, converting them into humus that is stable, microbially active, and environmentally benign. This humus improves soil structure, boosts water-holding capacity, and provides a slow-release source of nutrients, thereby reducing dependence on chemical fertilizers. In turn, this contributes to healthier soils, cleaner water systems, and greater resilience of agroecosystems against climatic and anthropogenic stresses.

In the context of rapid urbanization and industrialization, vermitechnology emerges as a cost-effective and eco-friendly solution for solid waste management. It mitigates pollution, reduces landfill overflow, and generates value-added products such as vermiprotein for animal feed and biofertilizers for agriculture. The careful selection and adoption of suitable earthworm species—both indigenous and exotic—ensures efficient waste conversion across diverse climatic zones, making vermicomposting adaptable to regional needs.

References:

1. Abiz, A., Khayyat, M., & Seyedbagheri, M. M. (2025). The effects of vermicompost on soil and plant health, biostimulant activities, nutrients, water use efficiencies, and disease suppression in horticultural crops. *European Journal of Horticultural Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10341-025-01731-x>
2. Acar, M., Wahab, T. S., Kaya Karaca, Ö., Işık, M., Sariyev, A., & Ortaş, İ. (2025). *Exposing how long-term organic and inorganic fertilizers affect the physical characteristics of soil*. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 25, 5187–5201. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-025-00345-9>
3. Ahmad, Y., & Baraga, R. (2005). Moisture and pH effects on vermicomposting efficiency. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 26(2), 325–330. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-005-0001-2>
4. Alvarez, R. (2005). A review of nitrogen fertilizer and conservation tillage effects on soil organic carbon storage. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems in Agroecosystems*, 71(1), 15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-004-0386-6>
5. Ananthi, B. G., & Parthasarathi, K. (2001). Carbon-nitrogen ratio and its influence on earthworm population dynamics in vermicomposting. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 17(1), 23–27. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393\(00\)00120-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393(00)00120-5)
6. Arancon, N. Q., Edwards, C. A., Bierman, P., Welch, C., & Metzger, J. D. (2006). Influences of vermicomposts on field strawberries: Part 1. Effects on growth and yields. *Bioresource Technology*, 93(2), 145–153. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(03\)00200-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(03)00200-3)
7. Avian, M., & Ghatnekar, S. (1991). Role of earthworm gut enzymes in organic matter decomposition. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 11(3), 234–238. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00336369>
8. Bhadauria, T., & Saxena, K. G. (2010). Role of earthworms in soil fertility maintenance through the production of vermicompost. *Tropical Ecology*, 51(2), 221–233. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42965-010-0021-5>

9. Christopher, S- Christopher, S. F., & Lal, R. (2007). Nitrogen management affects carbon sequestration in agricultural soils. *Soil Science. F., & Lal, R. (2007). Nitrogen management affects carbon sequestration in agricultural Society of America soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 71(2), Journal, 71(2), 345–352. https://doi.org/10.213345–352.https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2006.01876/sssaj2006.0187`*
10. Dhaliwal,, & Sharma, R. (1992). Role of * H. S., Singh, S., & Sharma, R. (1992). Role of *Pleurotus* fungi *Pleurotus* fungi* in degradation of in degradation of organic waste. * organic waste. *Biological Wastes Biological Wastes, 40(2), 161–169, 40*(2), 161–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/026.org/10.1016/0269-7483(92)90139-9-7483(92)90139-7`*
11. Domínguez, J., & Edwards, C. A. (2011). Biology and ecology of earthworm species used for vermicomposting. In C. A. Edwards, N. Q. Arancon, & R. Sherman (Eds.), *Vermiculture Technology: Earthworms, Organic Wastes, and Environmental Management* (pp. 27–40). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b10453-4>
12. Domínguez, J., & Edwards, C. A. (2011). Biology and ecology of earthworm species used for vermicomposting. In C. A. Edwards, N. Q. Arancon, & R. Sherman (Eds.), *Vermiculture Technology: Earthworms, Organic Wastes, and Environmental Management* (pp. 27–40). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b10453-4>
13. Edwards, C. A., & Bohlen, P. J. (1996). *Biology and Ecology of Earthworms* (3rd ed.). Chapman & Hall. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-6143-9>
14. Gajalakshmi, S., & Abbasi, S. A. (2004). Vermicomposting as a solid waste management strategy for developing countries. *Waste Management & Research, 22(6), 481–491. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X04054897*
15. Giraddi, R. S. (2000). Role of earthworms in decomposition of organic matter. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 13(2), 45–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42965-000-0045-2*
16. Gogoi, R., Borah, M. P., Anshu, Sharma, M. R., Barooah, M., & Bora, S. S. (2025). Vermicompost use in sustainable agriculture: Impact on soil fertility and plant growth. In *Innovative Technologies for Waste Management* (pp. 427–449). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-XXXX-XX_20
17. Hand, P., Hayes, W. A., Frank Hayes, W. A., Frankland, J. C., & Satland, J. C., & Satchell, J. E. (1998). The vermicomposting of cow slurry8). The vermicom. *Pedobiologia, 42(3), 172–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/S003posting of cow slurry. *Pedobiologia, 42*(3), 172–184.https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-4056(98)80001-1-4056(98)80001-2`*
18. Jabeen, A., Subrahmanyam, D., & Krishnaveni, D. (2015). *Rice as a global lifeline: A staple crop sustaining two-thirds of the world's population*. Agriculture Research Floor, 15–18. <https://doi.org/10.23910/1.2015.3041-5322.2>
19. Julka, J. M. (1993). The fauna of India: Earthworms (Megascolecidae: Octochaetidae). *Zoological Survey of India. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02900093*

20. Kozhemyakov, A. P. (1989). Soil fertility and ecological fertility and ecological balance in agro balance in agroecosystems. *Agroecosystems. *Agrokhimiya*, 6, 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF029.org/10.1007/BF02900089>
21. Lavelle, P., Barois, I., Martin, A., & Zaidi, Z. (1992). Earthworm populations in tropical soils: Ecological and management implications. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 24(12), 1491–1498. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(92\)90148-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(92)90148-4)
22. Maboeta, M. S., & Van Rensburg, L. (2003). Vermicomposting of industrially produced wood chips and sewage sludge using *Eisenia fetida*. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 56(2), 265–270. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0147-6513\(03\)00013-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0147-6513(03)00013-8)
23. Mascianti, B., & Garciaandaro, G., Ceccanti, B., & Garcia, C. (2000). “In situ” vermicomposting of biological sludges and impacts on soil quality, C. (2000). “In situ” vermicomposting of biological sludges and impacts on soil quality. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 32(7), 1015–1024. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(99\)00229-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00229-8)
24. Nadaf Fahmideh, S., Allahyari, M. S., Damalas, C. A., Daghighi Masouleh, Z., & Ghazi, M. (2017). *Predicting adoption of double cropping in paddy fields of northern Iran: A comparison of statistical methods*. *Paddy and Water Environment*, 15, 907–917. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10333-017-0605-2>
25. Nair, J., Sekiozoic, V., & Sekiozoic, V., & Anda, M. (2005). Anda, M. (2005). Vermiculture technology Vermiculture technology for waste management and environmental for waste management protection. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 43(7), 945–953. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SR05056>
26. Neher, D. A., Weicht, T. R., Bates, S. T., Leff, J. W., & Fierer, N. (2013). Changes in bacterial and fungal communities across compost recipes, preparation methods, and composting times. *PLOS ONE*, 8(11), e79512. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079512>
27. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079512>
28. Neuhauser, E. F., Kaplan, D. L., & Hartenstein, R. (2000). Species selection for vermicomposting of agricultural and urban wastes. *Pedobiologia*, 44(6), 701–708. [https://doi.org/10.1078/S0031-4056\(04\)70089-1](https://doi.org/10.1078/S0031-4056(04)70089-1)
29. Novoselov, and environmental protection. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 43(7), 945–953. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SR05056>
30. Novoselov, S. I. (2011). Rational use of natural resources use of natural resources in sustainable agriculture in sustainable agriculture. *Russian Journal of Ecology*, 42(5), 389–395. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1067413611050102>
31. Parthasarathi, K., Balamurugan, M., & Ranganathan, L. S. (2008). Influence of vermicompost on the physico-chemical and biological properties in different types of soil along with yield and quality of the pulse crop blackgram. *Environmental*

- Monitoring and Assessment*, 143(1–3), 273–277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-007-9979-3>
32. Rae, R., & Ramalaxmi, K. (2002). Influence of pH on earthworm activity and population dynamics. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 34(9), 1239–1245. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(02\)00045-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00045-7)
 33. Sajnanath, R., & Sushma, P. K. (2004). Efficiency of *Eudrilus eugeniae* in decomposing organic waste. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 20(4), 453–458.
 34. Sharma, S. K., Arora, A., & Dhandaora, A., & Dhanda, S. (2002). Enzymatic degradation, S. (2002). Enzym of lignin and celluloseatic degradation of lignin and cellulose by *Pleurotus* species. *Process Biochemistry*, 37(10), 1027–1035. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592\(01\)00303-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(01)00303-0)
 35. Singh, S., & Singh, R. (2004). Traditional farming practices as alternatives to chemical agriculture in India. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*. https://doi.org/10.1300/J064v24n02_0
 36. Sinha, R. K., Herat, S., Valani, D., Chauhan, K., & Patel, V. (2010). Vermiculture and sustainable agriculture. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Science*, 8(4), 356–377. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.ajeaes.2010.8.4.1102>
 37. Sinha, R. K., Herat, S., Valani, D., Chauhan, K., & Patel, V. (2010). Vermiculture and sustainable agriculture. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Science*, 8(4), 356–377. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.ajeaes.2010.8.4.1102>
 38. Suresh Babu, C., Gnaneshwar, T., Srinu, B., Jyothsnanand, N., Prasad, P. G. D., Teja, K., & Aditya, T. B. (2025). Vermicomposting as a tool for sustainable agriculture: Benefits and challenges. *International Journal of Research in Agronomy*, 8(7), 268–272. <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2025.v8.i7Sd.3373>
 39. Zinkyakoba, N. B. (2013). Soil cover as a biosphere medium under anthropogenic pressure. *Eurasian Soil Science*, 46(9), 987–995. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S106422931309013X>